

CORRECTIONS

In a recent commentary by Schoenfeld (1), the fourth sentence of the fourth paragraph should read: "Given 90% eradication rates with these antibiotic regimens, laboratory confirmation of *H. pylori* eradication is only recommended for bleeding, perforated, or refractory ulcers (1, 3)."

Reference

1. Schoenfeld PS. Commentary on "Quadruple therapy eradicated *H. pylori*-associated peptic ulcer disease better than dual therapy; and Triple therapy eradicated *H. pylori* infection better than dual therapy." Evidence-Based Medicine. 1996 May-Jun;1:109-10. Comment

on: de Boer WA, Driessen WM, Jansz AR, Tytgat GN. Quadruple therapy compared with dual therapy for eradication of *Helicobacter pylori* in ulcer patients: results of a randomized prospective single-centre study. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol. 1995;7:1189-94; and Thijs JC, van Zwet AA, Moolenaar W, Wolhagen MJ, ten Bokkel Huinink J. Triple therapy vs. amoxicillin plus omeprazole for treatment of *Helicobacter pylori* infection: a multi-center, prospective, randomized, controlled study of efficacy and side effects. Am J Gastroenterol. 1996;91:93-7.

In a recent EBM note by Altman (1) (British version of the publication only), the

fifth sentence of the seventh paragraph should read: "The *Evidence-Based Medicine* review did not give the 95% CI for the difference of 2.6%, which is -2% to +8%." In the same note, the eleventh sentence of the seventh paragraph should read: "In this case, however, the 95% CI for the treatment difference of 6% is -7% to +19%."

Reference

1. Altman DG. Use of confidence intervals to indicate uncertainty in research findings [EBM note]. Evidence-Based Medicine. 1996 May-Jun;4:102-4.