(costs); evidence of effectiveness in come from a study (or studies in meets criteria for diagnosis, treatment quality assurance, or review a mic

The purpose of Evidence-Based Medicine is to alert clinicians to important advances in internal medicine, general and family practice, surgery, psychiatry, paediatrics, and obstetrics and gynaecology by selecting from the biomedical literature those original and review articles whose results are most likely to be both true and useful. These articles are summarised in value-added abstracts and commented on by clinical experts.

The procedures we follow as we attempt to achieve this purpose are:

- Detecting, using prestated criteria, the best original and review articles on the cause, course, diagnosis, prevention, treatment, quality of care, or economics of disorders in the foregoing fields;
- Introducing these articles with declarative titles and summarising them accurately in structured abstracts that describe their objectives, methods, results, and evidence-based conclusions;
- Adding brief, highly expert commentaries to place each of these summaries in its proper clinical and health care context; and
- 4. Disseminating these summaries in a timely fashion to clinicians.

Journals are reviewed based on the proportion of articles that meet *Evidence-Based Medicine* criteria and are listed in each issue.

Criteria for Review and Selection for Abstracting

- 1. General: All English-language original and review articles in an issue of a candidate journal are considered for abstracting if they concern topics important to the clinical practice of internal medicine, general and family practice, surgery, psychiatry, paediatrics, or obstetrics and gynaecology. Access to foreign-language journals is provided through the systematic reviews we abstract, especially those in the Cochrane Library, which summarizes articles from over 800 journals in several languages.
- Studies of prevention or treatment: random allocation of the participants to the different interventions; outcome measures of known or probable clinical importance for

66

- ≥ 80% of the participants who entered the investigation.
- 3. Studies of diagnosis: clearly identified comparison groups, ≥ 1 of which is free of the target disorder; either an objective diagnostic standard (e.g., a machine-produced laboratory result) or a contemporary clinical diagnostic standard (e.g., a venogram for deep venous thrombosis) with demonstrably reproducible criteria for any subjectively interpreted component (e.g., report of better-than-chance agreement among interpreters); interpretation of the test without knowledge of the diagnostic standard result; interpretation of the diagnostic standard without knowledge of the test result.
- 4. Studies of prognosis: an inception cohort of persons, all initially free of the outcome of interest; follow-up of ≥ 80% of patients until the occurrence of either a major study end point or the end of the study.
- 5. Studies of causation: a clearly identified comparison group for those at risk for, or having, the outcome of interest (whether from randomised. quasi-randomised, or nonrandomised controlled trials; cohort analytic studies with case-by-case matching or statistical adjustment to create comparable groups; or case-control studies); masking of observers of outcomes to exposures (assumed to be met if the outcome is objective [e.g., all-cause mortality or an objective test[); observers of exposures masked to outcomes for case-control studies OR masking of subjects to exposure for all other study designs.
- 6. Studies of quality improvement and continuing education: random allocation of participants or units to comparison groups; follow-up of ≥80% of participants; outcome measures of known or probable clinical or educational importance.
- 7. Studies of the economics of health care programs or interventions: The economic question must compare alternative courses of action; the alternative diagnostic or therapeutic services or quality improvement strategies must be compared on the basis of both the outcomes they produce (effectiveness) and the resources they consume

- costs); evidence of effectiveness m come from a study (or studies to meets criteria for diagnosis, treatme quality assurance, or review affect results should be presented in fer of the incremental or additional co and outcomes incurred and realized one intervention over another an sensitivity analysis should be the
- 8. Clinical prediction guides. T guide must be generated in 13et patients (training set) and validation an independent set of patiens (t set), and must also meet the ponoted criteria for treatment, dagr sis, prognosis, or causation.
- 9. Systematic reviews: The clinical top being reviewed must be clearly state there must be a description of he we evidence on this topic was track down, from what sources, an two what inclusion and exclusion conter and ≥ 1 article included in the wind must meet the above-noted crit a treatment, diagnosis, prognosis astion, quality improvement, or the economics of health care programs

Evidence-Based Medicine has a felat journal, ACP Journal Club, in which a stracts are restricted to internal medicing It is generated using procedures identify to those used for Evidence-Based Medicing and is published by the American Colle of Physicians. Approximately halfoff abstracts in ACP Journal Club are pulished in Evidence-Based Medicing at the abstracts not published are listed by their declarative titles, in the section titled Additional Articles Abstracted ACP Journal Club.

Each abstract is reviewed by an experiment content area, and a commentary added to provide the contexts of presion knowledge and clinical practice which the results of the abstracted will be applied, any important get odological problems that affect contempretation of the study results part recommendations for the clinical application of the study findings. The application of the original article is given an opposite trunity to review the abstract and contempretary before publication.

May/June 199

Evidence-Based Medicine

^{*} The detailed version of Purpose and book cedure appeared in Evidence-Based Medium 1998 Mar-Apr;3:34-35.