Irbesartan was renoprotective in patients with type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and microalbuminuria


QUESTION: In patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and persistent microalbuminuria, what is the effectiveness of the angiotensin II receptor antagonist (ARA) irbesartan for delaying or preventing the development of nephropathy?

Design
Randomised [allocation concealed†‡, blinded [clinicians, patients, and outcome assessors] †‡, placebo controlled trial with 2 years of follow up.

Setting
96 centres worldwide.

Patients
611 patients between 30 and 70 years of age who had type 2 diabetes; hypertension defined as systolic blood pressure ≥ 135 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mm Hg, or both; persistent microalbuminuria defined as an albumin excretion rate of 20 to 200 μg/minute; and a serum creatinine concentration ≤ 133 μmol/l for men or ≤ 97 μmol/l for women. Exclusion criteria were non-diabetic kidney disease, cancer, fatal disease, or indication for angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or ARAs. 590 of 611 (97%) patients (mean age 58 y, 68% men) completed follow up.

Intervention
Patients were allocated to receive irbesartan, 150 mg/day (n=195) or 300 mg/day (n=194), or placebo (n=201). Patients were treated with antihypertensive drugs as needed, but ACE inhibitors were not allowed. Patients continued their usual diabetes care. Dietary salt and protein were not restricted.

Main outcome measure
Development of nephropathy, defined by a urinary albumin excretion rate > 200 μg/minute that is at least 30% higher than the baseline rate.

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. At 2 years, unadjusted analyses showed that placebo was associated with a higher incidence of progression to nephropathy than was irbesartan, 300 mg/day (p < 0.001), but not irbesartan, 150 mg/day (p=0.08). After adjusting for baseline microalbuminuria and blood pressure during the study, placebo was associated with a higher incidence of progression to nephropathy than was irbesartan, 300 mg/day (p < 0.001), and irbesartan, 150 mg/day (p=0.05) (table).

Conclusion
In patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and persistent microalbuminuria, irbesartan delayed progression to nephropathy independent of its effect on blood pressure.

COMMENTARY
Type 2 diabetes mellitus causes microvascular and macrovascular complications that pose public health concerns worldwide. The end organ damage resulting from microvascular complications clinically manifests itself as retinopathy, neuropathy, and nephropathy. Diabetic nephropathy causes almost 40% of all incident dialysis cases in the USA. Once end stage renal disease (ESRD) has developed, the median survival of patients with type 2 diabetes is 2 years, and most of these deaths are from cardiovascular disease.

In the spectrum of renal disease complicating diabetes, microalbuminuria precedes overt diabetic nephropathy. This stage is readily detectable, is associated with an increased risk for progression to diabetic nephropathy, and is potentially reversible.

Parving et al have shown that treating patients who have type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and microalbuminuria with irbesartan, 300 mg/day, reduced progression to overt nephropathy at 2 years; lower doses (150 mg/day) were less effective. This beneficial effect of irbesartan was independent of blood pressure lowering and glycaemic control. In addition, irbesartan was more likely than placebo to cause regression to normoalbuminuria. The findings support the role of rennin–angiotensin system blockade with irbesartan in preventing progression to albuminuria.

The Microvascular Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (MICRO-HOPE) study† enrolled 3577 patients with diabetes, 32% of whom had microalbuminuria. The rate of progression to overt nephropathy was lower in the ramipril group than in the placebo group (relative risk reduction [RRR] 24%). Although the effects of irbesartan (RRR 66%) seemed to be greater in preventing progression to overt nephropathy, no study exists with clinically important outcomes comparing ARAs to ACE inhibitors.

*See glossary.
†Information provided by author.
‡Abbreviations defined in glossary; NNT and its CI calculated by using hazard ratios provided in the article; hazard ratios adjusted for baseline microalbuminuria and blood pressure during the study.

Continued on next page.
Irbesartan reduced progression of nephropathy caused by type 2 diabetes independent of the effect on blood pressure


QUESTION: In patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, diabetic nephropathy, and hypertension, what effect does the angiotensin II receptor antagonist (ARA) irbesartan and the calcium channel blocker amloidipine have on renal disease?

Design
Randomised (allocation concealed*), blinded (clinicians, patients, outcome assessors, and statisticians)* placebo controlled trial with mean follow up of 2.6 years (the Irbesartan Diabetic Nephropathy Trial [IDNT]).

Setting
210 clinical centres worldwide.

Patients
1715 patients between 30 and 70 years of age (mean age 59 y, 60% men) who had type 2 diabetes, hypertension, proteinuria defined as a urinary protein excretion rate > 900 mg/24 hours, and serum creatinine concentrations between 88 and 265 µmol/l in women and between 106 and 265 µmol/l in men. Follow up was 99%.

Intervention
Patients were allocated to irbesartan, titrated to 300 mg/day (n=579); amlodipine, titrated to 10 mg/day (n=567); or placebo (n=569). Treatment targeted a systolic blood pressure ≤ 135 mm Hg and a diastolic blood pressure ≤ 85 mm Hg by using drugs other than angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, and calcium channel blockers, if necessary.

Main outcome measures
The primary outcome was the composite of doubling of the baseline serum creatinine concentration, onset of end stage renal disease, or all cause mortality. The secondary outcome was the composite of cardiovascular mortality, non-fatal myocardial infarction, heart failure resulting in admission to hospital, neurological deficit caused by a cerebrovascular event, or above ankle lower limb amputation.

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. After adjusting for mean blood pressure, irbesartan lowered the risk for the primary composite outcome more than did amlodipine (p=0.005) or placebo (p=0.03); this outcome did not differ for amloidipine and placebo (p=0.47) (table). The 3 groups did not differ for the secondary composite outcome.

Conclusion
In patients with type 2 diabetes, nephropathy, and hypertension, irbesartan was more effective in reducing progression of nephropathy independent of the effect on blood pressure than was amloidipine or placebo.

Irbesartan, amlodipine, or placebo for risk for a composite outcome in diabetic nephropathy and hypertension at mean 2.6 years†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparisons</th>
<th>Event rates</th>
<th>Adjusted RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Irbesartan v amlodipine</td>
<td>33% v 41%</td>
<td>24% (8 to 37)</td>
<td>12 (7 to 35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irbesartan v placebo</td>
<td>33% v 39%</td>
<td>19% (1 to 33)</td>
<td>16 (8 to 121)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjusted RRI (CI)</th>
<th>NNH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amloidipine v placebo</td>
<td>41% v 39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Composite outcome – doubling of baseline serum creatinine level, end stage renal disease, or all cause mortality.

Sources of funding: Bristol-Myers Squibb Institute for Medical Research and Sanofi-Synthelabo.

For correspondence: Dr E J Lewis, Rush–Presbyterian–St. Luke’s Medical Center, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

COMMENTARY—continued from previous page

The study of Mogensen et al5 provides a preliminary assessment of the role of combination treatment with ARAs and ACE inhibitors in the candesartan and lisinopril microalbuminuria (CALM) study. Candesartan combined with lisinopril for 24 weeks resulted in greater reductions in blood pressure and in the albumin : creatinine ratio than either drug given alone.

Once overt nephropathy develops, the goal of treatment is to slow the rate of progression to ESRD. The IDNT and the RENAAL trials, which used irbesartan and losartan, respectively, showed that patients treated with ARAs had a lower incidence of the composite outcome of doubling of serum creatinine, ESRD, or death. The effect of amloidipine on progression to the composite end point was neutral. After the baseline visit, mean systolic blood pressure levels ranged from 140 mm to 150 mm Hg, and diastolic blood pressure levels ranged from 74 mm to 77 mm Hg. A mean of 3 to 4 additional non-study medications were needed to achieve these blood pressure levels. Mean proteinuria concentrations decreased by 33% to 35% in the ARA treated groups. These trials provide convincing evidence that irbesartan and losartan reduce the risk for progression of renal disease.

Preventing progression of diabetic nephropathy should not be considered in isolation from macrovascular complications associated with type 2 diabetes. In middle aged and elderly people with type 2 diabetes, fatal and non-fatal cardiovascular events occur at a rate of 4% to 5% per year. The HOPE study6 strongly supports a protective effect of ramipril (RRR 22%) on future cardiovascular events in high risk patients, including those with diabetes and ≥ 1 additional cardiovascular risk factor. Although the HOPE trial excluded patients with overt proteinuria, patients with proteinuria and type 2 diabetes would probably have a similar benefit.

Both the IDNT and RENAAL studies used prespecified secondary outcome clusters to measure morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular causes. Secondary outcomes occurred in 24% of patients in the IDNT study and 34% of patients in the RENAAL study. Neither losartan nor irbesartan reduced the risk for this composite outcome; however, losartan was associated with a lower rate of first admission to hospital for congestive heart failure.

continued on next page
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Losartan was renoprotective in diabetic nephropathy independent of its effect on blood pressure


QUESTION: In patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and nephropathy, what is the renoprotective effect of the angiotensin II receptor antagonist (ARA) losartan?

Design
Randomised (allocation concealed*), blinded (clinicians, patients, outcome assessors, and statisticians),* placebo controlled trial with mean follow up of 3.4 years (the Reduction of Endpoints in NIDDM with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan [RENAAL] Study).

Setting
250 centres worldwide.

Patients
1513 patients between 31 and 70 years of age (mean age 60 y; 63% men) who had type 2 diabetes and nephropathy defined as a urinary albumin : creatinine ratio ≥ 300 mg/g and a serum creatinine concentration between 115 and 265 μmol/l (≥ 133 μmol/l for men weighing > 60 kg). Exclusion criteria included type 1 diabetes and non-diabetic renal disease. Follow up was 99.8%.

Intervention
After stratification by baseline level of proteinuria, patients were allocated to receive losartan, 50 to 100 mg/day (n=751), or placebo (n=762). Conventional antihypertensive treatment (excluding angiotensin I converting enzyme inhibitors and ARAs) was adjusted to target a systolic and diastolic blood pressure < 140 and < 90 mm Hg, respectively.

Main outcome measures
The primary outcome was the composite of a doubling of the baseline serum creatinine concentration, end stage renal disease (ESRD), or death. The secondary outcome was the composite of cardiovascular morbidity or mortality.

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. Losartan reduced the risk for the primary composite outcome (unadjusted p=0.02; p=0.03 after adjustment for blood pressure), doubling of the baseline serum creatinine concentration (unadjusted p=0.006), and ESRD (unadjusted p=0.002) more than did placebo (table). However, losartan and placebo did not differ for incidence of death (unadjusted p=0.88) (table) or the secondary composite outcome of cardiovascular morbidity or mortality (p=0.26).

Conclusions
Losartan was renoprotective in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and nephropathy. This effect was beyond that attributable to blood pressure control.* See glossary.
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