Letter

Review: β blockers increase fatigue and sexual dysfunction but not depression after myocardial infarction

We disagree with Dr Swann that a placebo effect and a variation in event rates in the studies invalidate the results of our study.¹ Firstly, we disagree that a prominent apparent placebo effect biases toward underdetection of side effects in placebo controlled trials. The placebo phenomenon refers to symptoms, physiological changes, or both that follow administration of a placebo that the patients believe to be an active drug.² Since patients enrolled in placebo controlled trials are unaware of the medication they receive, the placebo effect should be equally exerted in both treatment groups. In fact, the placebo effect furnishes a justification for including placebos in clinical trials because it permits a more accurate appraisal of the side effect profile of the active medication. Without such a placebo comparison, the active medication may be associated with side effects that are the nonspecific consequences of taking any medication.² Secondly, we disagree that the variation of event rates observed in the trials may invalidate our results. Since the assessment of side effects is applied equally in both the β blocker and the placebo groups, the estimate of risks of β blockers should not be affected.

The main intent of our study was to provide estimates of risks for side effects that are commonly believed to be substantially related to β blocker therapy, such as depression, fatigue, and sexual dysfunction. Contrary to conventional beliefs, β blockers are not associated with substantial risks for these side effects.

DENNIS T KO, MD

Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Center, University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

PATRICIA R HEBERT, PhD

HARLAN M KRUMHOLZ, MD
Yale University School of Medicine
New Haven, Connecticut, USA

- 1 Review: β blockers increase fatigue and sexual dysfunction but not depression after myocardial infarction [Abstract]. *Evidence-Based Medicine* 2003 Jan-Feb;8:15. Abstract of: Ko DT, Hebert PR, Coffey CS, et al. β -blocker therapy and symptoms of depression, fatigue, and sexual dysfunction. *JAMA* 2002;288:351-7.
- 2 Barsky AJ, Saintfort R, Rogers MP, Borus JF. Nonspecific medication side effects and the placebo phenomenon. *JAMA* 2002;287:622-7.

In response

My commentary on the interesting and useful review by Ko *et al* raised questions about the interpretation of the data. Contrary to their letter, it did not state that their conclusions were invalid (in fact it supported some of them). Their arguments in response to the commentary, however, do not effectively address the concerns raised.

Their discussion of the placebo effect ultimately supports the point of my commentary, stating that "since patients enrolled in placebo-controlled trials are unaware of the medication they received, placebo effects should be equally exerted in both treatment groups." But it can be difficult to distinguish a placebo or a placebo effect from a true pharmacological effect; the physiological mechanisms can even be the same.¹ This holds for both positive and negative expectations.²⁻³ Patients enrolled in randomised clinical trials read a detailed informed consent document that describes the potential side effects of the active drug. Side effects of placebo are well documented to resemble those of active drugs.²⁻⁴ Placebo and placebo effects are therefore not limited to "nonspecific" drug effects. That does not negate the importance or utility of placebo controlled trials, but underscores the fact that placebo effects may reduce the apparent difference in the rate of side effects in persons randomised to placebo compared with those randomised to the active drug, a general point that must be kept in mind when interpreting placebo controlled trials.⁴

These considerations would tend to bias results conservatively, so the fact that in some instances a difference emerged despite them is cause to believe that a true drug effect exists, although its extent is hard to gauge. The point remains that the rates of the same side effects varied by as much as 60-fold across trials and were correlated between placebo and active drug with an *r* that was generally close to unity, yet the difference between corresponding placebo and active drug side effect rates was generally only a few percent at most. Interpretation of the evidence is therefore compromised by the robust correlation between side effect rates for placebo and active drug (placebo effect) and by the wide variation in rates across studies, which dwarfed the differences within studies and suggests that the studies were so heterogeneous (whether in design, patient population, drugs used, or some combination) that their interpretability as an aggregate may be problematic.

ALAN C SWANN, MD

University Texas-Houston Medical School
Houston, Texas, USA

- 1 de la Fuente-Fernandez R, Ruth TJ, Sossi V, et al. Expectation and dopamine release: mechanism of the placebo effect in Parkinson's disease. *Science* 2001;293:1164-6.
- 2 Flaten MA, Simonsen T, Olsen H. Drug-related information generates placebo and placebo responses that modify the drug response. *Psychosom Med* 1999;61:250-5.
- 3 Green MW, Taylor MA, Elliman NA, et al. Placebo expectancy effects in the relationship between glucose and cognition. *Br J Nutr* 2001;86:173-9.
- 4 Wehrhau TR, Gauler TC. Placebo—efficacy and adverse effects in controlled clinical trials. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1999;49:385-93.