Picotamide reduced all cause mortality more than aspirin in type 2 diabetes mellitus and peripheral arterial disease


Clinical impact ratings IM/Ambulatory care ★★★★★☆ Haematology ★★★★★☆ Endocrine ★★★★★☆

In patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and peripheral arterial disease (PAD), is picotamide better than aspirin for preventing all cause mortality and major cardiovascular (CV) events?

**METHODS**

**Design:** randomised placebo controlled trial (Drug Evaluation in Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease in Diabetics [DAVID] study).

**Allocation:** concealed.*

**Blinding:** blinded (clinicians, patients, data collectors, outcome assessors, and data safety and monitoring committee).*

**Follow up period:** 24 months.

**Setting:** 86 centres in Italy.

**Patients:** 1209 patients who were 40–75 years of age (mean age 64.7 ± 12.0 years, 77% men) with type 2 diabetes mellitus and peripheral arterial disease (PAD) (2 of 2 or more of intermittent claudication for >2 min, loss of posterior tibial or dorsalis pedis pulse in the foot, ankle/arm pressure ratio < 0.50 or > 1.30 in the posterior or anterior tibial artery of the foot, amputation or reconstructive surgery in patients with previous intermittent claudication, or angioplasty). Exclusion criteria included myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, or unstable angina in the previous 6 months; severe neurological or mental deficits; severe comorbid conditions that would limit life expectancy to < 2 years; serum creatinine > 2 mg/dl (176 µmol/l); pregnancy; severe uncontrolled hypertension; active peptic ulcer or gastroenteric bleeding in the previous 6 months; total cholesterol concentration > 300 mg/dl (7.69 mmol/l); and need for long term use of anticoagulants.

**Intervention:** patients were stratified by centre and allocated to twice daily picotamide, 600 mg (n = 606), or aspirin, 320 mg in the morning and a placebo tablet in the evening (n = 603).

**Outcomes:** all cause mortality. Secondary outcomes were the composite endpoint of death and non-fatal CV events (MI, ischaemic stroke, or major amputation [above the ankle, not because of trauma or cancer]), and adverse events.

**Patient follow up:** 95% (intention to treat analysis).

*See glossary.

**MAIN RESULTS**

Picotamide led to fewer deaths than did aspirin (table). The groups did not differ for the composite endpoint of mortality plus non-fatal CV events (table). Picotamide was associated with fewer gastrointestinal adverse events than aspirin (11% v 18%, p < 0.001). The groups did not differ for other adverse events.
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**CONCLUSIONS**

In patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and peripheral arterial disease, picotamide reduced death more than did aspirin. Picotamide did not reduce non-fatal cardiovascular events.

Abstract and commentary also appear in ACP Journal Club.

**Picotamide v aspirin for type 2 diabetes mellitus and peripheral arterial disease**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes at 24 months</th>
<th>Picotamide</th>
<th>Aspirin</th>
<th>RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All cause mortality</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>45% (2 to 69)</td>
<td>44 (22 to 1025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite endpoint</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>16% (–22 to 43)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Composite endpoint = death and non-fatal cardiovascular events.

Other abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.

**Commentary**

Patients with type 2 diabetes have increased platelet adhesiveness.1 As a result, aggressive antplatelet therapy would be expected to improve CV outcomes. However, 2 recent publications have shown that while aspirin improved CV outcomes in non-diabetic patients, this was not the case in patients with diabetes.2,3

Aspirin inhibits platelet cyclooxygenase activity, leading to a decrease in thromboxane A2 (a potent platelet activator) while simultaneously decreasing the production of prostacyclin (a mediator known to decrease platelet aggregation and to produce vasodilation). The reduced benefit of aspirin in patients with diabetes is thought to occur because the loss of thromboxane A2 is minimised by the presence of numerous other platelet activators/aggregators present in the diabetic state. Thus, the platelet remains activated/aggregable while having lost the antiaggregating influence of prostacyclin. As a result, specific thromboxane A2 blockers have been developed to provide antithromboxane benefits without lowering prostacyclin concentrations.

The 24 month DAVID study by Serneri et al compared picotamide with daily aspirin in patients with type 2 diabetes and PAD, and showed a decreased risk of all cause mortality. The only disappointing finding was the non-significant reduction of the composite endpoint of mortality and non-fatal CV events. This leaves the interpretation difficult and a need for further confirmatory trials.

If proven equivalent to or better than aspirin in CV prevention, picotamide (which causes less bleeding requiring hospital admission and a lower frequency of gastrointestinal discomfort than aspirin) will be a welcome addition to the hyperactive platelets in diabetic patients. As we wait, the current recommendation by the American Diabetes Association of the use of daily aspirin (75–162 mg) in diabetic patients with vascular disease, age > 40 years, or with additional CV risk factors, should be followed.4
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