Ximelagatran was not inferior to warfarin for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in non-valvular atrial fibrillation


Clinical impact ratings GP/FP/Primary care ★★★★★★ IM/Ambulatory care ★★★★★★ Internal medicine ★★★★★★ Cardiology ★★★★★★

Q In patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation requiring long term oral anticoagulant therapy, is fixed dose oral ximelagatran non-inferior to adjusted dose warfarin for preventing stroke and systemic embolism?

In patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation requiring long term oral anticoagulant therapy, is fixed dose oral ximelagatran non-inferior to adjusted dose warfarin for preventing stroke and systemic embolism?

METHODS

Design: randomised controlled trial (Stroke Prevention using an Oral Thrombin Inhibitor in Atrial Fibrillation [SPORTIF V]).

Allocation: concealed.*

Blinding: blinded (clinicians, patients, and outcome assessors).*

Follow-up period: mean 20 months.

Setting: 409 sites in the US and Canada.

Patients: 3922 patients (mean age 72y, 69% men) who had persistent or paroxysmal non-valvular atrial fibrillation and ≥1 risk factor for stroke (eg, previous stroke, transient ischaemic attack, or systemic embolism; hypertension; left ventricular dysfunction; and age ≥75 y or ≥65 y with coronary disease or diabetes mellitus).

Intervention: fixed dose oral ximelagatran, 36 mg twice daily (n = 1960), or adjusted dose warfarin (target international normalised ratio 2.0–3.0) (n = 1962).

Outcomes: composite endpoint of stroke (ischaemic or haemorrhagic) or systemic embolism. Secondary outcomes included major bleeding, major and minor bleeding combined, myocardial infarction, and elevated liver enzymes (serum alanine aminotransferase concentration >3 times the upper limit of normal).

Patient follow-up: 100% (intention to treat analysis).

*See glossary.

MAIN RESULTS

Ximelagatran was non-inferior to warfarin for preventing the composite endpoint of stroke or systemic embolism (table). The groups did not differ for rates of myocardial infarction and major bleeding (table). However, the rate of major and minor bleeding combined was lower in the ximelagatran group than in the warfarin group (table), and more patients in the ximelagatran group had elevated liver enzymes (6.0% v 0.8%, p<0.001).

CONCLUSION

In patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation requiring long-term oral anticoagulant therapy, fixed dose oral ximelagatran was non-inferior to adjusted dose warfarin for preventing stroke and systemic embolism.

Abstract and commentary also appear in ACP Journal Club.
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| Fixed dose oral ximelagatran (Xim) v adjusted dose warfarin (War) in non-valvular atrial fibrillation requiring long term anticoagulation therapy at mean 20 months* |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Event rate per patient year |                 |                 |
|                  | Xim               | War             | Difference (95% CI) |
| Any stroke (ischaemic or haemorrhagic) or systemic embolism | 1.6% | 1.2% | 0.45% (−0.13 to 1.0) |
| Myocardial infarction | 1.0% | 1.4% | −0.4% (−0.9 to 0.2) |
| Major bleeding | 2.4% | 3.1% | −0.66% (−1.6 to 0.3) |
| Major and minor bleeding combined | 37% | 47% | −10% (−14 to −6.0) |

*CI defined in glossary. †Intention to treat analysis; criterion for non-inferiority was met because the upper limit of the 95% CI was <2%/year. ‡CI provided by author. §On treatment analysis that discounted events after treatment cessation. || Significant difference favours xim.

Commentary

The SPORTIF V trial showed that ximelagatran was non-inferior to warfarin for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation and, compared with warfarin, was associated with a somewhat lower incidence of bleeding. These findings are similar to those from the SPORTIF III trial, which also compared ximelagatran with warfarin therapy in the same patient population.1 Taken together, these studies suggest that ximelagatran is an alternative to warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation.

Unlike warfarin, ximelagatran is not known to interact with other drugs or foods and has a predictable anticoagulant effect, thereby obviating the need for laboratory monitoring or dose adjustments. It may be speculated that the stable anticoagulation associated with ximelagatran accounts for the lower incidence of bleeding complications compared with warfarin treatment.

Based on these trials, the potential for ximelagatran to replace warfarin for atrial fibrillation is promising but several issues need to be addressed. Firstly, ximelagatran is excreted by the kidneys and patients with a creatinine clearance <30 ml/min were not studied. Elderly patients with atrial fibrillation may have unrecognised renal insufficiency, because the serum creatinine concentration does not always reflect renal function. Secondly, the availability of an antidote in case of life threatening bleeding would be desirable, and the options appear limited for ximelagatran.2 Finally, 6% of ximelagatran treated patients developed increased liver enzymes between the 2nd and 6th month of treatment, which has been a consistent finding in all clinical trials so far. It is not clear whether the increase in liver enzymes is associated with a clinically important impairment in liver function, although in virtually all patients the liver enzymes normalised spontaneously or after cessation of ximelagatran. This last issue, especially, requires further study and resolution before ximelagatran can be considered for clinical use.
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