124 DIAGNOSIS

Colonoscopy detected colon polyps better than air contrast barium enema or computed tomographic colonography

Rockey DC, Paulson E, Niedzwiecki D, et al. Analysis of air contrast barium enema, computed tomographic colonography, and colonoscopy: prospective comparison. *Lancet* 2005;**365**:305–11.

Clinical impact ratings GP/FP/Primary care ★★★★★☆ IM/Ambulatory care ★★★★☆☆ Internal medicine ★★★★☆☆ Oncology ★★★★☆☆ Gastroenterology ★★★★☆☆

In patients at high risk of colonic neoplasia, what is the comparative accuracy of air contrast barium enema (ACBE), computed tomographic colonography (CTC), and colonoscopy for detecting large colonic polyps?

METHODS



Design: blinded comparison of ACBE, CTC, and colonoscopy.



Setting: 14 centres in the US.



Patients: 614 patients (mean age 57 y, 70% men) with ≥1 positive test result for faecal occult blood, ≥1 episode of bright red blood per rectum in the previous 3 months, iron deficiency anaemia, or a family history of colon cancer or adenoma. Exclusion criteria included active gastrointestinal haemorrhage and serious medical illness.



Description of tests: ACBE was done according to standard protocols. Before ACBE, patients were given bisacodyl tablets and a suppository. After infusion of high density barium and distension of the colon with room air, spot films were taken of all specific colon segments and overhead radiographs were obtained in various positions. 7–14 days after ACBE, CTC and colonoscopy were done on the same day. Patients were prepared for CTC with a phosphate based cathartic. After placement of a rectal tube, the colon was insufflated with either room air or carbon dioxide with patients in the prone and supine positions. 4 slice or 8 slice multidetector CT scanners were used; nominal slice thickness was 2.5 mm with 1 mm reconstruction intervals. Interpretation of CTC was done before colonoscopy.

Colonoscopy was done in a standard manner. Lesions were measured in comparison with open biopsy forceps, photographed, and assessed by a colonoscopist.



Outcomes: sensitivity, specificity, and likelihood ratios for the detection of large colonic polyps.

MAIN DESILITS

63 patients had 76 lesions ≥10 mm in size, 55 of which were adenomas or cancers. 116 patients had 158 lesions 6–9 mm in size, 97 of which were adenomas. 155 patients had 234 lesions ≥6 mm in size, 152 of which were adenomas or cancers. Test characteristics for ACBE, CTC, and colonoscopy are in the table.

For correspondence: Dr D C Rockey, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA. dcrockey@acpub.duke.edu

99% (95 to 100)

Source of funding: National Cancer Institute

CONCLUSION

In patients at high risk of colonic neoplasia, colonoscopy was more sensitive and specific than air contrast barium enema or computed tomographic colonography for detecting large colonic polyps.

Abstract and commentary also appear in ACP Journal Club.

Commentary

The study by Rockey et al prospectively evaluated ACBE, CTC, and colonoscopy for the diagnosis of colonic neoplasia in high risk patients. The study showed the accuracy of colonoscopy to be higher than that of ACBE or CTC. Overall, the study was well designed and well done. All investigators were adequately trained to do the tests being evaluated. Each test was interpreted blind, with segmental unblinding during the colonoscopy and independent blinded review of discordant results on any of the 3 tests. All tests were repeated in the event of continued disagreement. This rigorous method minimises bias in favour of colonoscopy.

The study has 2 limitations. Firstly, no barium stool tagging was used for CTC. Because colonic lesions can be obscured by untagged fluid and stool, this lowers the accuracy of CTC. Secondly, the Vitrea software used in the study has limited 3 dimensional reconstruction, with lower resolution for polyp conspicuity and less similarity to optical colonoscopy than the Viatronix software in a study by Pickhardt. ¹

than the Viatronix software in a study by Pickhardt.¹

CTC was better than ACBE for detection of 6–9 mm lesions. However, both CTC and ACBE had lower accuracy than colonoscopy, which also allows tissue biopsy and excision. Even with the anticipated technological advances, CTC will not be cost effective for diagnosing colonic neoplasia in high risk populations because of the frequent need for follow up colonoscopy. In this study, if CTC were fully accurate, 29% of patients would still have needed colonoscopy to remove or to facilitate biopsy on lesions >6 mm. Consequently, within its current operational parameters, CTC cannot be advocated as the first line investigation for patients with a high likelihood of colonic neoplasia. For such populations, CTC should be reserved for patients unwilling or unable to have colonoscopy. However, CTC may have a role in low risk screening populations where most patients will not have colonic neoplasia and will not need a subsequent colonoscopy.

Virender K Sharma, MD

Cuong C Nguyen, MD Mayo Clinic Arizona, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA

1 Pickhardt PJ. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2003;**181**:1599-606.

Test	Lesion size	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (CI)	+LR	-LR
ACBE	≥10 mm	48% (35 to 61)	90% (87 to 92)	4.80	0.58
	6-9 mm	35% (27 to 45)	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
	≥6 mm	41% (33 to 49)	82% (78 to 85)	2.28	0.72
CTC	≥10 mm	59% (45 to 71)	96% (94 to 98)	14.75	0.43
	6-9 mm	51% (41 to 60)	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
	≥6 mm	55% (47 to 63)	89% (86 to 92)	5.00	0.51
Colonoscopy	≥10 mm	98% (91 to 100)	99.6% (99 to 100)	245	0.02
	6-9 mm	99% (95 to 100)	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported

*ACBE = air contrast barium enema; CTC = computed tomographic colonography. Diagnostic terms defined in glossary; LRs calculated from data in article.

99.6% (98 to 100)