With statin co-administration, drugs designed to increase HDL have no impact on cardiovascular outcomes
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Context
Decreasing low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol with statin treatment reduces cardiovascular disease (CVD) incidence. The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) has recently recommended that first-line statin treatment in primary prevention should be atorvastatin 20 mg daily and in secondary prevention atorvastatin 80 mg daily, doses which typically decrease LDL cholesterol by 43% and 55%, respectively. In many patients this will leave little scope to reduce residual CVD risk by additional LDL lowering. Attention is therefore drawn to the epidemiological inverse association between HDL cholesterol and CVD risk. This is widely exploited in the prediction of CVD risk, but is LDL cholesterol also ‘good cholesterol’ in the sense that raising its level therapeutically can prove beneficial? Three lipid-modifying drug classes are known to raise HDL: niacin (nicotinic acid), fibrates and cholesteryl ester transfer protein inhibitors (CETP-i’s).

Methods
In this systematic review, an extensive search of published and unpublished randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of niacin, fibrates and CETP-i’s revealed 11 RCTs of niacin (35 301 participants), 20 of fibrates (46 099 participants) and 8 of CETP-i’s (36 011 participants) with all-cause mortality as an outcome. These were subjected to meta-analysis. Secondary end points included coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality and non-fatal MI as an outcome. These were subjected to meta-analysis. Secondary participants) and 8 of CETP-i’s were tested on niacin alone versus placebo (HR 0.69; 0.56 to 0.85, p<0.001, n=4991), but in combination with a statin it had no significance. Similarly, fibrates alone decreased the incidence of non-fatal MI (HR 0.78; 0.71 to 0.86, p<0.001, n=32 086), but statistical significance was lost with statin co-administration.

Results
None of the drug classes was associated with reduction in all-cause or CHD mortality. Niacin and fibrates were investigated both in patients receiving statins and those not on statins, whereas CETP-i’s were tested only against a background of statin therapy. Non-fatal MI occurred less frequently on niacin alone versus placebo (HR 0.69; 0.56 to 0.85, p<0.001, n=4991), but in combination with a statin it had no significant effect. Similarly, fibrates alone decreased the incidence of non-fatal MI (HR 0.78; 0.71 to 0.86, p<0.001, n=32 086), but statistical significance was lost with statin co-administration.

Commentary
Keene and colleagues report that none of the three classes of drugs with HDL-raising properties decreased total or CHD mortality. Furthermore in recent trials, in which they were tested against a background of statin treatment, they even failed to reduce non-fatal MI. Non-fatal MI has a much higher incidence than fatal MI and thus arguments about inadequate statistical power cannot be applied as they can to fatal events. The findings complement another recent meta-analysis revealing that the increase in HDL cholesterol, which occurs with some statins, does not contribute any benefit beyond that from LDL reduction.

Intriguingly, in the study by Keene and colleagues both niacin and fibrates did show significant decreases in non-fatal MI in trials in which statins were not co-prescribed. This is potentially important for patients with statin intolerance. In the case of niacin, however, it may be spurious, because the trials without statin were small and it is dubious whether they can properly be regarded as blinded due to the flushing reaction in the majority of participants randomised to active treatment. Laropiprant, which substantially reduces flushing, was not available until it had become unethical to conduct CVD end point trials in the absence of a statin. The fibrate findings are less easy to dismiss; numbers are substantial, fibrates well-tolerated and a similar trend for decreased non-fatal MI was present even when statins were co-prescribed. The question about why fibrates do not decrease all-cause mortality, however, remains worrying.

It is too early to write-off CETP-i’s. Two large studies, one of evacetrapib (ACCELERATE (NCT01687998)) and another of anacetrapib (REVEAL (NCT01252953) have yet to report in 2016 and 2017, respectively. A more sophisticated view of HDL is emerging; it has many functions beyond those of simple cholesterol carriage. It may not be rate limiting for reverse cholesterol transport, particularly when hepatic LDL uptake is beyond those of simple cholesterol carriage. It may not be rate limiting for reverse cholesterol transport, particularly when hepatic LDL uptake is.
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