Appendix 1 Procedure followed when collecting data for grading test accuracy evidence

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Objective** | | | | |
| * Prepare a repository of all information needed to grade the evidence included in a guideline | | | | |
| **Description** | | | | |
| 1. For each evaluated test and clinical condition, use the template below for pulling together all necessary information. 2. Each information summary should containing following information: | | | | |
|  | **STUDY DESIGN** | 0 | Cross-sectional (cohort type accuracy study) |  |
| -1 | Cohort & case-control type studies |
| -2 | Case-control type accuracy study |
|  | **RISK OF BIAS** | 0 | Most of the pooled effect provided by studies ”A”, with low risk of bias |  |
|  | -1 | Most of the pooled effect provided by studies “B” or “C” without a substantial proportion (i.e. < 40%) from studies “C” |  |
|  | -2 | Most of the pooled effect provided by studies “B” or “C” with a substantial proportion (i.e. > 40%) from studies “C” |  |
|  | **INDIRECTNESS** | 0 | All of domains in QUADAS-2 tool (Applicability part) assessed as “low or unclear concern over applicability” |  |
| -1 | At least one of domains in QUADAS-2 tool (Applicability part) assessed as “high concern over applicability” |
| -2 | More than one of domains in QUADAS-2 tool (Applicability part) assessed as “high concern over applicability” |
| **INCONSISTENCY** | 0 | Confidence intervals of estimates from individual studies are overlapping |  |
| -1 | Not overlapping confidence intervals of estimates from individual studies and visible heterogeneity between estimates |
| -2 | Great between-study variation and not overlapping confidence intervals |
|  | **IMPRECISION** | 0 | Narrow confidence interval of pooled estimate |  |
|  | -1 | Wide confidence interval of pooled estimate |  |
|  | -2 | Very wide confidence interval of pooled estimate (i.e. confidence interval extending more than 50%) |  |
|  | **PUBLICATION BIAS** | n/a | Existing tests that use standard errors of odds ratios are likely to be seriously misleading if applied to meta-analyses of test accuracy. The effective sample size funnel plot and associated regression test of asymmetry should be used to detect publication bias and other sample size related effects (1) |  |
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