

	Scientific papers (n= 14)	News stories (n= 70)
Did not acknowledge the lack of efficacy data from randomized controlled trials	10 (71)	40 (57)
Failed to report on the absence of a non-digital comparator in clinical trials	13 (93)	0 (0)
Involved experts who had financial ties to either Otsuka or Proteus	8 (57)	21 (30)
Did not report on the scarcity of safety data	13 (93)	65 (93)
Conveyed an impression of benefit without mentioning the lack of research to support that impression	11 (79)	52 (74)

Note. Data are presented as numbers (and percentage)