DESIGN, METHODS, AND REPORTING OF STUDIES

ADDING CAPACITY: GETTING EBP INTO THE EBM

Method We reviewed studies evaluating the impact of cardiovascular CPRs included in the International Register of CPRs. We identified impact studies by conducting forward citation searches of these CPRs. For some cardiovascular CPRs never published in a journal, we searched electronic databases to identify their impact studies. We categorized the design of impact studies as ideal (randomized experiment), alternative (non-randomized experiment excluding uncontrolled before-after study), and inappropriate (all other study designs). For impact studies with appropriate study design, we assessed their methods using the Cochrane risk of bias and ROBINS-I tools. We assessed their report using the CONSORT statement, relevant extensions to the CONSORT statement, and TREND statement. For each impact study with appropriate design, we identified a contemporaneous control study with matching design published in the same journal and compared their methods and reporting.

Results We screened a total of 42769 references, 40644 from forward citation searches of 194 CPRs and 2125 from electronic database searches of 4 CPRs. Of 110 of impact studies of cardiovascular CPRs found, 59.1% used inappropriate designs (40 uncontrolled before-after studies, 7 cohort studies, and 18 non-comparative studies), 9.1% used alternative designs (2 non-randomized trials, 4 interrupted time series studies, and 4 repeated measures studies), and 31.8% used ideal designs (12 cluster randomized trials and 23 randomized controlled trials). Overall risk of bias was substantial in 80% (31 of 45) of impact studies. Mean proportion of domains from reporting guidelines that impact studies adhered to was only 44.1% (9.3 of 21). There was no clear difference between impact and matched control studies in the proportion of studies with substantial risk of bias and the mean proportion of reporting domains studies did not comply with.

Conclusions We conducted the first systematic review that evaluated design, methods, and reporting of impact studies. We found the vast majority of impact studies either used study designs inappropriate for assessing the effect of using CPRs, applied methods carrying substantial risk of bias, or did not comply with reporting guidelines. All stakeholders of CPR development should take concerted actions to increase the value of research.

Abstracts

Forty-seven papers, describing 42 unique datasets, incorporating data from 710 patients were included. The study recruited from a mix of inpatient, outpatient, hospice and community settings across 12 different countries with 49% of studies conducted in the US. Preliminary findings demonstrate spirituality was expressed both through religious practices, and through relationships or connectedness with family, friends, nature and with a sense of self. Spirituality has on-going importance to those at the end of life as a means of finding hope, meaning and certainty, living remaining life well and adjusting to dying. Considering the broad sense of spirituality expressed by participants, practice improvements may include healthcare providers acknowledging and supporting patients’ desires for creating meaning, purpose and connectedness through listening, connecting, and engaging in discussions about these needs. Greater access to chaplains for participants who expressed spirituality through religion may also be of benefit.

Conclusions Qualitative research is increasingly used in the development of evidence-based health care and clinical guidelines. Such methodologies provide deeper insights into patient experiences of healthcare services and ill-health and support the provision of healthcare that is more patient-centred. The findings from this systematic review highlight the importance of spirituality for patients at the end of life as means of finding hope, meaning and certainty, living remaining life well and adjusting to dying. These findings will be used to inform the development of a national clinical guideline for care of the dying adult in the Republic of Ireland.
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