Review: sensitive thyrotropin testing in unselected inpatients has low diagnostic accuracy


Questions
In hospitalised patients with non-thyroidal illness (NTI), are clinical signs and symptoms useful for predicting overt thyroid disease? Is the sensitive thyrotropin (thyroid-stimulating hormone [sTSH]) assay useful for detecting thyroid disease?

Data sources
Studies were identified by searching Medline with the following 2 groups of terms: thyroid diseases, cohort studies, and signs or symptoms; and thyrotropin, hospitalised or medical or inpatient, and sensitivity or specificity. Bibliographies of relevant articles were scanned. Searches were done by 2 different researchers.

Study selection
English-language studies were selected if they enrolled >50 patients. Studies on signs or symptoms were included if they reported sufficient information to allow positive likelihood ratios (+LRs) to be calculated. Studies on sTSH assays were selected if they included patients who were acutely ill or hospitalised with an NTI and if they used second- or third-generation sTSH assays and follow-up after resolution of NTI as reference standards.

Data extraction
2 reviewers independently extracted data on patients, study type, diagnostic standard, follow-up, and number of signs and symptoms. +LRs were calculated. The quality of study methods was assessed by using 3 criteria: follow-up of patients with normal and abnormal results; follow-up after resolution of NTI in >60% of patients with abnormal results; and explicit, clear criteria for diagnosis of thyroid disease.

Main results
Signs and symptoms: no studies were found for signs and symptoms of thyroid disease in hospitalised patients. 8 studies on outpatients met the inclusion criteria. Individual signs and symptoms were examined in 6 studies, 4 of which were unblinded. The other 2 studies (n = 1017 and 1193) used patient self-reports for clinical signs and symptoms of hypothyroid disease and showed +LRs of 2 for decreased appetite, 2 for hoarse voice, 2.2 for fuller face, 2.2 for dry skin, and 2.3 for personal history of thyroid disease. 2 studies examined the total number of signs and symptoms. In the 1 blinded study (n = 135, 7% thyroid dysfunction), +LRs for thyroid disease were 6.75, 1.14, and 0.20 for ≥5, 2 to 4, and 0 to 1 signs and symptoms, respectively.

sTSH assay: 2 of 8 studies provided adequate data to calculate +LRs in hospitalised patients. +LRs were 7.7 for hyperthyroid disease (cut point < 0.1 µIU/ml, n = 272, 4% hyperthyroid) and 11.1 for hypothyroid disease (cut point > 20 µIU/ml, n = 157, 8% hypothyroid).

Conclusions
No studies examined the usefulness of signs and symptoms of thyroid disease in hospitalised patients, and few studies on outpatients are blinded. The positive likelihood ratios for signs and symptoms are modest. An sTSH assay cut point of < 0.1 µIU/ml results in a positive likelihood ratio of 7.7 for hyperthyroid disease, and a cut point of > 20 µIU/ml results in a positive likelihood ratio of 11.1 for hypothyroid disease.