Rofecoxib caused fewer endoscopic gastroduodenal ulcers than ibuprofen in osteoarthritis


QUESTION: In patients with osteoarthritis (OA), does rofecoxib at doses of 25 and 50 mg/day cause fewer endoscopic gastroduodenal ulcers than ibuprofen?

**Design**
Randomised (allocation concealed†), blinded (patients, clinicians, and outcome assessors),* placebo controlled trial with 24 week follow up.

**Setting**
33 clinical centres in the United States.

**Patients**
742 patients (mean age 62 y, 68% women, 83% white) ≥50 years of age with OA that had required non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) for ≥6 months. Exclusion criteria were active ulcers; inflammatory bowel disease; previous upper gastrointestinal (GI) surgery; pyloric obstruction; erosive oesophagitis; abnormal serum creatinine levels or clearance; faecal occult blood; unstable medical conditions; history of cancer or cerebral vascular events; bleeding diathesis; or need for anticoagulants, ticlopidine, corticosteroids, or aspirin. The intention to treat analysis included 93% of the patients.

**Table 1** Endoscopic gastroduodenal ulcers ≥3 mm with rofecoxib and placebo vs ibuprofen for osteoarthritis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison at 12 wk</th>
<th>Event rates</th>
<th>RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rofecoxib, 25 mg/d, vs ibuprofen</td>
<td>4% vs 25%</td>
<td>85% (68 to 93)</td>
<td>5 (3 to 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rofecoxib, 50 mg/d, vs ibuprofen</td>
<td>7% vs 25%</td>
<td>73% (52 to 85)</td>
<td>5 (4 to 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo vs ibuprofen</td>
<td>7% vs 25%</td>
<td>72% (49 to 85)</td>
<td>5 (4 to 10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated using simple proportions with data provided by author.

**Conclusion**
Rofecoxib, 25 or 50 mg/day, and placebo had lower rates of endoscopic gastroduodenal ulcers than ibuprofen in patients with osteoarthritis.

**Commentary**
Most clinicians are aware of the discovery of 2 forms of cyclooxygenase (COX): COX-1, the constitutional form, produces prostaglandins involved in physiological functions; COX-2, an inducible form, produces inflammatory prostaglandins. The distinction between the 2 forms led to a search for drugs that inhibit COX-2, sparing COX-1, in the expectation that such agents would be free of the serious GI toxicity caused by conventional (non-selective) NSAIDs. The first 2 COX inhibitors, celecoxib and rofecoxib, enjoyed successful launches in North America before any publications were available to assess their effectiveness and toxicity. In late 1999, 4 full reports, including these studies by Laine and Simon and their colleagues and 2 others by Langman and Emery,12 were published; accompanying editorials raised doubts about the true value of these agents.3 4 Overall, the 4 reports describe the experience of >7000 patients with RA or OA treated for >6 to 52 weeks (table 2).

Accepting that the COX-2 inhibitors are of similar efficacy to non-selective NSAIDs, the main clinical interest is in avoiding clinically important GI damage. During endoscopic small and superficial ulcers that do not usually cause symptoms are frequently seen in the stomach and duodenum of patients taking conventional NSAIDs. The rates of these mainly non-clinical events with COX-2 inhibitors are approximately 25% of that with conventional NSAIDs. Physicians and patients are, however, more concerned with GI symptoms. Dyspepsia was reduced by only 2% to 3% with a COX-2 inhibitor. Although many patients have been studied in trials of COX-2 inhibitors, few instances of serious GI complications, such as bleeding or perforation, have occurred. The frequency of these potentially serious outcomes is approximately 1% with conventional NSAIDs; COX-2 inhibitors appear to provide a reduction of 0.5% to 1%. This means that 100 to 290 "typical" patients will have to be treated (NNT) with a COX-2 NSAID instead of a conventional NSAID to avoid 1 additional serious complication. In low risk groups, the NNT for serious complications may be around 500.†

Many patients who are unable to tolerate several conventional NSAIDs will probably be the group for whom COX-2 inhibitors will be the most cost effective. Considering the high cost of these new drugs and the widespread use of NSAIDs in most communities, the routine prescription of COX-2 inhibitors cannot be supported.
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