Spacers made from sealed cold-drink bottles were as effective as conventional spacers in children with acute asthma


**QUESTION:** In children with acute asthma, are homemade spacers as effective as conventional ones for improving response to a β₂ agonist given by metered dose inhaler?

**Main outcomes**

Changes in clinical score and pulmonary function and need for nebulisation.

**Main results**

Analysis was by intention to treat. An improvement in lung function was seen in all groups. Results for the sealed bottle group were similar to those for the conventional spacer group; a cup was least effective (p = 0.02) (table). Among children with mild-airway obstruction, clinical scores, pulmonary function, and need for nebulisation did not differ among study groups.

Among children with moderate to severe airway obstruction, clinical scores did not differ among study groups and a cup was least effective for improving pulmonary function (p < 0.05) (table). Nebulisation was needed by 10 of 11 children who used a cup, 8 of 11 children who used a sealed bottle, 9 of 11 who used an unsealed bottle, and 4 of 11 who used a conventional spacer.

**Conclusion**

In children with acute asthma, spacers made from sealed cold drink bottles were as effective as conventional spacers.

*See glossary.
†Information supplied by author.

**COMMENTARY**

The study by Zar and colleagues was a well designed, randomised, controlled trial—the gold standard for evaluating therapeutic methods. It shows that adequate medication delivery for asthma can be achieved with both expensive and inexpensive tools. The study took place at the Red Cross Children’s Hospital in Cape Town, South Africa. Overall, the results have practical implications for asthma guidelines in developing and industrialised countries. Some programmes only allow replacement of spacers once every 2 years. Spacers may also be the only alternative for delivery of non-nebulised anti-inflammatory medications. Furthermore, spacers can become plugged, torn, broken, or misplaced or can be left at home. Future studies need to evaluate the use of these devices in younger children, especially in areas where neither spacers nor nebulisers are readily available! This study shows that homemade spacers work in the hospital setting, but will they also work in the home setting? Will differences in priming and washing alter drug delivery?
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