Oral montelukast was better than inhaled salmeterol for reducing exercise induced bronchoconstriction in adults with asthma


QUESTION: Is oral montelukast as effective as inhaled salmeterol for prevention of exercise induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) in adults with asthma?

Design
8 week, randomised (allocation concealed)*†, blinded (patients, clinicians, outcome assessors, statisticians),*† controlled trial.

Setting
17 asthma treatment centres in the US.

Patients
191 patients who were 15–45 years of age (mean age 26 y, 52% men), had a history of chronic asthma, had an FEV₁ ≥ 65% of the predicted value at rest, and had a decrease in FEV₁ ≥ 20% after a standardised exercise challenge on 2 occasions during baseline measurement. Exclusion criteria were upper respiratory infection or exacerbation of asthma that had required emergency care in the previous month or admission to hospital for asthma in the previous 3 months. Follow up at 8 weeks was 93%.

Intervention
After a 2 week period of placebo, 97 patients were allocated to oral montelukast, 10 mg tablet once in the evening for 8 weeks, and 94 were allocated to inhaled salmeterol, 2 puffs of 50 µg aerosol formulation twice daily for 8 weeks.

Main outcome measures
Main outcome was change from baseline in the maximal percentage decrease in FEV₁ after a standardised exercise challenge at 8 weeks. Other outcomes included maximal percentage decrease in FEV₁ at 1 to 3 days and 4 weeks, the need for rescue medication during or after exercise, and adverse events and laboratory abnormalities.

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. Within 3 days of initiation of treatment, both groups improved in the maximal percentage decrease in FEV₁ after exercise; this improvement was maintained at 4 weeks and 8 weeks in patients who received montelukast but not in patients who received salmeterol (p = 0.015 at 4 wks and p = 0.002 at 8 wks). At any time, fewer patients who received montelukast required rescue doses of β-agonist after exercise challenge than did patients who received salmeterol (20% v 40%; p = 0.04). The groups did not differ for frequency of clinical or laboratory adverse events.

Conclusion
Oral montelukast reduced exercise induced bronchoconstriction in adults with asthma more than did inhaled salmeterol at 8 weeks of treatment.

See glossary.
†Data provided by author.

COMMENTARY
Airway hyperresponsiveness to exercise and response to treatment are important and quantifiable indexes of asthma control. Currently, montelukast does not have an approved indication in the US for the management of EIB. Previous investigation has shown that at 12 weeks montelukast treatment offered greater protection against EIB than did placebo. Edelman et al expand our understanding of the role of montelukast by comparing it with an inhaled bronchodilator rather than with placebo.

Several issues deserve mention. Firstly, this trial evaluated and compared the protective effects of montelukast and salmeterol at the end of their dosing intervals. Whether comparable protection against EIB exists earlier in the dosing cycle was not studied. Secondly, the effect of montelukast in patients with more severe chronic asthma was not investigated. These points aside, this study shows that montelukast had a durable effect in reducing the magnitude of the broncho-constrictive response to provocative exercise challenge.

What should the practitioner take from these results? For patients with mild asthma and near normal baseline lung function, the prescriber must weigh the potential benefit and burden (cost, convenience, and safety) of using a long term drug, such as montelukast, against those of an as needed inhaled β-agonist for the prophylaxis management of EIB. These findings also suggest that the protective effect of salmeterol in EIB decreases after long term administration. This finding is consistent with those of other studies of salmeterol and other long acting inhaled β-agonists.7
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