Low volume, high frequency cows’ milk feedings sped recovery from diarrhoea in infants


QUESTION: In infants with acute diarrhoea, do more frequent, low volume feedings of cows’ milk promote faster recovery than less frequent, high volume feedings of the same total volume of cows’ milk?

Design
14 day randomised (unclear allocation concealment*), unblinded,* controlled trial.

Setting
4 tertiary care hospitals in Chengdu, China.

Patients
282 boys who were 3–12 months of age and had acute diarrhoea and mild to severe dehydration. Exclusion criteria were malnutrition, exclusive breast feeding, systematic infections (eg, pneumonia or sepsicaemia), or other diseases requiring additional treatments. Only boys were enrolled to facilitate the separation of urine and stool. 262 boys (93%) (mean age 8 mo) completed the study.

Intervention
Infants were stratified by hospital and initial hydration status and allocated exclusively to cows’ milk, either 18 ml/kg of body weight every 3 hours over 18 waking hours (6 feeds/d [high volume]) (n = 128) or 9 ml/kg every 1.5 hours over 18 waking hours (12 feeds/d [low volume]) (n = 134).

Main outcome measures
Recovery from diarrhoea (2 d of formed stools at pre-diarrhoea frequency and 24 h stool weight < 30 g/kg) and treatment failure (dehydration > 5% of body weight after third day of admission, serious complications, persistent electrolyte abnormalities, prolonged severe diarrhoea, or diarrhoea continuing after 14 days of treatment).

Main results
All but 1 infant in the low volume group had recovered from diarrhoea by day 14; no infants in either group met criteria for treatment failure. Kaplan-Meier analysis showed that the low volume group had a more rapid recovery from diarrhoea than the high volume group. After adjusting for age, dehydration status on admission, and cause of diarrhoea, the rate of recovery from diarrhoea was 29% higher in the low volume group than in the high volume group (hazard ratio 1.29, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.65, p = 0.048). The increase in body weight was faster in the low volume group (p = 0.012), and average stool frequency and stool weight from the second to fifth day of treatment were lower (p<0.027).

Conclusion
In infants with acute diarrhoea, feedings of cows’ milk 12 times per day promoted faster recovery than the same total volume of cows’ milk given 6 times per day.

*See glossary.

COMMENTARY
Diarrhoea accounts for one third of infant and child deaths worldwide. Although widespread use of oral rehydration treatment has reduced deaths by one third, 2 million children still die of diarrhoea each year. Even uncomplicated diarrhoea causes considerable weight loss, which results in a substantially lower level of nutrition than that in the prediarrhoeal state. The effect of diarrhoea is worsened by the custom of starving the child to give rest to the gut and by the child’s poor appetite. Early feeding as soon as dehydration is corrected is advised to prevent weight loss. However, an injured gut may not tolerate full strength milk, especially in young infants. Recurring diarrhoea has been attributed to lactose intolerance, and has led to the recommendation of diluting milk to reduce the risk for intolerance. However, a well done randomised controlled trial has shown that diluting milk does not reduce complications.

The study by Wan et al provides an appealing solution. It has proved convincingly that small, frequent feedings result in quicker restoration of the prediarrhoeal nutritional status. We know intuitively that the low volume, frequent feedings are less likely to burden the functioning of a diseased gut. Many of the study limitations have been pointed out by the authors. However, the outcome measures were reasonably objective. If the results of this study can be repeated, milk can be given with oral rehydration salt solutions, and the need for intravenous rehydration and admission to hospital can be minimised. More randomised trials are needed in this area using different types and dilutions of feeds.

Manjula Datta, MD, DCH, MSc
Tamil Nadu Dr MGR Medical University
Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India


Source of funding: International Clinical Epidemiology Network (INCLEN).

For correspondence: Dr C Wan,
Depts of Pediatrics and Clinical Epidemiology, West China University of Medical Sciences, Chengdu 610041, People’s Republic of China.