Routine testing before cataract surgery did not reduce medical adverse events


QUESTION: In patients having cataract surgery, does routine pre-operative medical testing reduce medical adverse events?

Design
Randomised (allocation concealed†), blinded (outcome assessors),* controlled trial with 1 week follow up.

Setting
9 clinical centres in the US and Canada.

Patients
18 189 patients (mean age 74 y, 61% women) who were scheduled to have 19 557 cataract operations between 1995 and 1997. Exclusion criteria were age < 50 years, general anaesthesia, myocardial infarction within the previous 3 months, pre-operative medical testing in the previous 28 days, or inability to speak English or Spanish. 19 250 cataract operations were done, and 19 217 operations (98% of enrolled operations) had 1 week of follow up.

Intervention
Each patient received a pre-operative medical assessment. Each patient with a scheduled cataract operation in a single eye was allocated to routine pre-operative testing (n = 9775 operations) or no testing (n = 9782 operations). Routine testing included a 12 lead electrocardiogram; a complete blood count; and measures of serum electrolytes, urea nitrogen, creatinine, and glucose. Tests could be ordered in the no testing group only if a patient had a new or worsening medical problem that would require testing even if surgery were not planned.

Main outcome measures
Adverse events during and after surgery.

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. Events were counted on a per operation basis. The groups did not differ for number of adverse events (including death and subsequent hospital admissions) overall: 301 events occurred in both groups (table). On the day of surgery, 190 events occurred in the routine testing group, and 185 occurred in the no testing group (table). 116 adverse postoperative events occurred in the routine testing group, and 121 occurred in the no testing group (table). The occurrence of different types of adverse events was similar in the 2 groups, except for that of bronchospasm, which occurred 8 times in the no testing group and 2 times in the routine testing group.

Conclusion
In patients having cataract surgery, routine pre-operative medical testing was no more effective than no testing in reducing medical adverse events.

COMMENTARY
This well designed study by Schein et al suggests that routine laboratory evaluation is not warranted for a low risk procedure, such as cataract surgery. The study population was selected because patients presenting for this elective procedure usually do not have serious medical comorbid conditions. This fact is borne out by the high proportion (99%) of patients who had an American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification of ≤ III. These are indeed the patients for whom we would like to confirm that we are not missing important outcomes by omitting these tests.

A more complex issue is the link between pre-operative evaluation and outcomes. In the no routine testing group in this study, patients having no tests had a lower rate of events than those having some tests (29.1/1000 operations vs 52.6/1000 operations). One wonders what prompted healthcare providers to order the tests and whether acting on the results made any difference to patient outcomes. Overall, although higher ASA class and poorer health status predicted more adverse events, this stratification did not reveal differences in events between the routine testing and no testing groups.

Minor questions remain about the level of training of the screening physicians (ie, are specialists better able to predict events?) and whether the short follow up of 1 week excluded relevant events.

This study supports results from previous studies that have shown that routine pre-operative laboratory tests add little to the prevention of surgical complications.1–3 Assessing the value of laboratory tests directed by an appropriate history and physical examination for patients having surgical procedures remains a research priority.

Neil Gibson, MSc, MD
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, Canada