Radiography led to improved patient satisfaction but increased short term pain in patients with low back pain


QUESTION: In primary care patients with low back pain of ≥6 weeks duration, does radiography of the lumbar spine help to improve clinical outcomes or satisfaction with care?

Design
Randomised [allocation concealed]*†, unblinded,* controlled trial with 3 months and 9 months follow up.

Setting
75 general practices in Nottinghamshire, southern Derbyshire, northern Lincolnshire, and northern Leicestershire, England.

Patients
421 patients who were 20–55 years of age (median age 39 y, 59% women) and had had low back pain for ≥6 weeks. Exclusion criteria were chronic back pain (>6 months duration); radiography of the lumbar spine in the previous year; unexplained weight loss or fever; use of oral steroids; history of malignancy, tuberculosis, injecting drug use, or positive result on HIV test; symptoms or signs of a cauda equina lesion; or pregnancy. Follow up was 95% at 3 months and 94% at 9 months.

Intervention
In addition to receiving usual care, patients were allocated to radiography of the lumbar spine (n = 210) or no radiography (n = 211). Doctors could request additional investigation or medical intervention.

Main outcome measures
Difference in mean Roland disability score (an adaptation of the Sickness Impact Profile). Secondary outcomes included a visual analog scale for pain and a scale for patient satisfaction.

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. At 3 months, the Roland disability score was higher in the radiography group than in the control group (median score 4 v 3, p = 0.05), and radiography led to more patients with pain (p = 0.04) (table). At 9 months, differences were no longer statistically significant for the Roland disability score (median score 3 v 2, p = 0.06) or pain (p = 0.11) (table). Patients in the radiography group were more satisfied with their care than were patients in the control group at 9 months (median score 21 v 19, p < 0.01) but not at 3 months. Of patients who had available findings on radiography, 69% (118 of 170 patients) in the radiography group and 68% (15 of 22 patients) in the control group had abnormalities, which included discovertebral degeneration, deformity, and minor congenital abnormalities.

Conclusion
In primary care patients with low back pain, radiography in addition to usual care improved patient satisfaction but increased short term pain and did not improve other clinical outcomes.

*RRI, NNH, and CI calculated from data in article.

COMMENTS
Recommenda tions in clinical guidelines to avoid radiographical examinations in patients with uncomplicated low back pain are largely based on observational studies showing poor predictive power. 1 The continued use of lumbar spine radiography is driven by patients' perceptions that this is a useful investigation and by clinicians' willingness to refer for social reasons, including patient satisfaction and reassurance. 2 The study by Kendrick et al contributes to the evidence that the examination is not useful in the management of back pain and is actually associated with more pain and poorer health status in the short term. On the other hand, patients having radiographical examination were more likely to be satisfied with care at 9 months, and patients in both groups said they would have wanted the examination.

The large number of general practices participating in the trial means that the results are probably generalisable, at least to the UK, although we do not know what proportion of total eligible patients were included in the trial. Participants were recruited at home, which is not the usual context for referral, but this study characteristic is unlikely to have a large influence on outcomes. By excluding the large proportion of patients whose back pain was improving by 6 weeks, the investigators focused on a group for whom some uncertainty remained about the best strategy for investigation and treatment. Now we have to find methods of improving the satisfaction of patients with back pain without resorting to radiographical examination. We need to communicate a broader understanding of back pain as a problem that usually does not require technological investigation or medical intervention.
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