Warm water bathing did not reduce use of pharmacological analgesia during the first stage of labour


QUESTION: In women in labour, does warm water bathing reduce the need for pharmacological pain relief more than no bathing?

Design
Randomised (allocation concealed*), partially blinded (data analysis blinded to study group allocation where appropriate),* controlled trial with 8 months of follow up.

Setting
A maternity tertiary-care referral centre in Adelaide, South Australia, Australia.

Patients
274 pregnant women (mean age 28 y) who were planning to deliver at the study hospital, were expecting a singleton pregnancy at term, and had no medical or obstetric complications. Exclusion criteria were labour before 37 weeks of gestation, plans to deliver by caesarean section, requirement for continuous electronic fetal monitoring, history of group B streptococcal vaginal colonisation, or need for parenteral narcotic or epidural blockade shortly after admission. All women were included in the analysis.

Intervention
Women were allocated to warm water bathing (n = 137) or routine hospital care (n = 137). Women in the bathing group could have a bath for as long as they liked during the first stage of labour. The bathtubs were in the delivery rooms and were 54 cm deep; the temperature of the water was maintained at 37°C. Routine care excluded the use of a bath but allowed a shower. All women were permitted other forms of pain relief, including parental analgesia or epidural blockade.

Main outcome measures
The primary outcome was use of pain relief during the first stage of labour. Secondary outcomes were maternal complications, interventions used in labour and delivery, and neonatal events.

Main results
40 women (29%) in the bathing group did not use the bath, and 36 women (26%) in the usual care group used the bath. Analysis was by intention to treat. The groups did not differ for any neonatal outcome, but when resuscitation measures were combined, newborns in the bathing group required more resuscitation than those in the usual care group (40% v 35%, |p = 0.02|†).

Conclusion
In women in labour, warm water bathing did not reduce the need for pharmacological pain relief and did not affect maternal or postnatal outcomes.

*See glossary.
†p Values calculated from data in article.

Warm water bathing v no bathing for pain relief during first stage of labour:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Bathing</th>
<th>No bathing</th>
<th>RRI (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need for major analgesia</td>
<td>85%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>10% (1.5 to 24)</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Abbreviations defined in glossary; RRI, NNH, and CI calculated from data in article.

COMMENTARY
While reviewing the study by Eckert et al, I was reminded of Iain Chalmers’ article1 published almost 20 years ago in which he cited clinicians’ reactions to a trial that involved immersing newborns in water. Those who firmly believe in the benefits of water immersion will argue that the length of time spent in the bath was too short, the bath was taken at the wrong time, or that the water was too hot. Similarly, non-believers will jump on any excuse to ban a practice they dislike. As a result of this study by Eckert et al, at least one Canadian hospital has considered banning bathing during labour because of the effect on babies. This is a misinterpretation of the trial results. Only one neonatal outcome (need for resuscitation) differed significantly between the groups. The trial was not powered to detect differences in important neonatal outcomes, and given the various statistical tests done it could have been a chance finding. I hope that the Cochrane review will soon be updated to include this trial and a recent Canadian trial.2

Women should be informed that a bath during labour is unlikely to affect their use of analgesia or other medical interventions, affect method of delivery, or improve their satisfaction with their birth experience, and that the effects on the fetuses are not fully known. Nevertheless, some women will want to have (and will enjoy) a bath. Labour wards that have baths should ensure that adequate infection control practices are in place and that water temperature and the wellbeing of mother and fetus are carefully monitored. But if resources are scarce, how can one justify the costs of installation and maintenance?
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