Oseltamivir was safe and effective for prophylaxis of influenza in the frail elderly


QUESTION: In frail older people in a residential setting, is oseltamivir (a neuraminidase inhibitor) safe and effective in preventing influenza A and B?

Design
Randomised (allocation concealed†), blinded (clinicians and participants), placebo controlled trial with 8 weeks of follow up.

Setting
31 residential homes or sheltered accommodations for seniors in 5 countries during the 1998–99 influenza season.

Participants
572 people who were ≥ 65 years of age, resided in care homes for seniors, and scored ≥ 7 on a Mental Status Questionnaire. Exclusion criteria included antibiotic treatment for acute upper respiratory tract infection, otitis media, bronchitis, or sinusitis, and antiviral treatment for influenza in the previous 2 weeks. 548 people (mean age 81 y, 69% women), of whom 80% were vaccinated against influenza, received ≥ 1 dose of study drug and were included in the intention to treat analysis.

Intervention
Participants were stratified by influenza vaccination status and co-existing chronic obstructive airways disease, and allocated to oseltamivir 75 mg once daily for 6 weeks (n=276) or placebo (n=272) when local influenza activity was detected.

Main outcome measures
Laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza (temperature ≥ 37.2°C plus 1 respiratory symptom [cough, sore throat, or nasal congestion] and 1 constitutional symptom [aches and pains, fatigue, headache, or chills or sweats]). Secondary outcomes were symptomatic laboratory-confirmed influenza not meeting the criteria for clinical influenza, asymptomatic laboratory-confirmed influenza infection, influenza-like illness, and complications of influenza.

Main results
Fewer patients who received oseltamivir had laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza than did those who received placebo (p=0.002) (table). The groups did not differ for laboratory-confirmed influenza, including all clinical cases; influenza not meeting clinical criteria; and asymptomatic influenza (p=0.18) or acute respiratory illness other than influenza (p=0.75). Fewer oseltamivir-group patients had complications of influenza than did placebo-group patients (p=0.037) (table). The groups did not differ for adverse events (84% v 89%), 90% of which were of mild-to-moderate intensity.

Conclusion
In frail older people, oseltamivir was safe and effective in preventing influenza A and B.

*See glossary.
†p Value calculated from data in article.

COMMENTARY
Residents of long term care facilities for the elderly are at high risk for influenza-related complications.1 In addition, because influenza vaccine is of limited efficacy in frail older people,2 nursing home influenza outbreaks remain common despite routine vaccination of residents; therefore, we need to know whether additional benefit exists for antiviral prophylaxis in this setting.

The study by Peters et al shows that oseltamivir is effective in preventing febrile illness caused by influenza and influenza complications in the elderly. Reducing complications is important because the complications of influenza rather than the disease itself are the cause of death and admission to hospital. In contrast to studies in younger populations,3 no excess of nausea and vomiting occurred in the oseltamivir group in this study. Oseltamivir thus joins the list of medications that are effective in preventing influenza and its complications in residents of long term care facilities; the others are the M2 inhibitors, amantadine and rimantadine, as well as zanamivir—another neuraminidase inhibitor.

Both Canadian and US guidelines recommend the use of antiviral prophylaxis during influenza outbreaks.4–6 Neuraminidase inhibitors are clearly indicated in situations in which M2 inhibitors are ineffective (outbreaks caused by influenza B and those caused by influenza A resistant to M2 inhibitors) or for patients in whom M2 inhibitors are contraindicated. For routine prophylaxis against influenza A, the appropriate choice of antiviral agent is not as clear. Neuraminidase inhibitors are associated with fewer side effects and less selection for resistance than are M2 inhibitors. However, whether these differences are substantial enough to warrant the additional cost of a neuraminidase inhibitor is unknown.

Allison McGee, MD, MSc
Mount Sinai Hospital
Toronto, Ontario, Canada


Source of funding:
F Hoffmann-La Roche AG.

For correspondence:
Dr P H Peters Jr, South Texas Medical Research Associates, 6547 Bandera Road, Suite C, San Antonio, TX 78238, USA.

Oseltamivir v placebo for influenza prophylaxis in frail elderly‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes at 8 weeks</th>
<th>Oseltamivir</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>RRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>NNT (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory-confirmed clinical influenza</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>92% (51 to 99)</td>
<td>25 (14 to 56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza complications</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>86% (13 to 98)</td>
<td>45 (21 to 380)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‡Abbreviations defined in glossary; NNT and CI calculated from data in article.