Initial bromocriptine did not change mortality in early, mild Parkinson’s disease


QUESTION: In patients with early, mild Parkinson’s disease (PD), does the long term effectiveness of levodopa alone differ from that of levodopa plus selegiline or initial bromocriptine monotherapy?

Design
Randomised [allocation concealed]†‡, blinded [data safety and monitoring committee]†*, controlled trial with a mean 9.2 years of follow up.

Setting
UK.

Patients
782 patients with a clinical diagnosis of PD. Exclusion criteria were failure to respond to an adequate trial of dopaminergic drugs or incapacitating cognitive impairment.

Intervention
249 patients were allocated to levodopa alone, 271 to levodopa plus selegiline, and 262 to initial bromocriptine. 104 patients in the bromocriptine group were rerandomised to 1 of the other 2 treatment groups after bromocriptine was withdrawn, but all patients were analysed in the groups to which they were initially randomised.

Main outcome measures
Mortality, disability, and adverse effects.

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. The groups did not differ for mortality (table). At 3 years, those assigned to initial bromocriptine had worse disability scores than those assigned to levodopa alone (difference in adjusted mean Webster score 1.5, 95% CI 0.4 to 2.1). This difference was no longer statistically significant at 9 years (0.2, CI –1.5 to 1.5). At a mean of 9.2 years of follow up, a lower incidence of dyskinesia occurred in patients initially assigned to bromocriptine than in those in the levodopa alone group (relative risk 0.73, CI 0.57 to 0.93). However, when only moderate to severe dyskinesias were analysed, this difference was no longer statistically significant. The groups did not differ for incidence of dystonia or on-off fluctuations.

Conclusions
In patients with mild, early Parkinson’s disease, initial treatment with bromocriptine did not reduce mortality more than levodopa. Disability scores were worse during the first 3 years of treatment with initial bromocriptine.

†Information provided by author.
‡Not significant.

Initial bromocriptine (IB) v levodopa alone (L) and levodopa plus selegiline (LS) in early, mild Parkinson’s disease at a mean 9.2 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Comparisons</th>
<th>Event rates (person years at risk)</th>
<th>Unadjusted hazard ratios (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>IB v L</td>
<td>58% (140) v 51% (118)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.90 to 1.47)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LS v IB</td>
<td>61% (148) v 58% (140)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.84 to 1.34)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LS v L</td>
<td>61% (148) v 51% (118)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.95 to 1.55)‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

COMMENTARY
The study by Lees et al shows that initiating treatment with bromocriptine or levodopa does not affect mortality rates and that patients who began treatment with levodopa had slightly better motor scores during follow up but a higher incidence of dyskinesias. The study has methodological limitations, the main one being that outcome assessment was not blinded to treatment allocation. Potential bias is suggested by the much higher number of deaths from cerebrovascular disease in the bromocriptine group than in the levodopa group. Dyskinesia scores are rather subjective; the distinction between dystonia and dyskinesias is sometimes difficult.

This study describes a larger number of PD patients and has a longer follow up period than do other studies that compare levodopa and dopamine agonists. These results are in keeping with previous open label studies on bromocriptine1 and with more recent controlled studies of new dopamine agonists.1–4 The withdrawal rate in the dopamine agonist group in this study is higher than that reported in the controlled trials of cabergoline (16% at 3 y) and ropinirole (27% at 5 y). In this report, only 3% of patients receiving bromocriptine remained on initial treatment after 10 years. Remarkably, no clinical differences other than potency have emerged among the various dopamine agonists. Therefore, the question arises on the possible generalisation of long term data on bromocriptine. A recently published meta-analysis acknowledged that determining how to start treatment would depend on the relative effect of improving motor disability compared with lessening motor complications.1 The present study raises further awareness and concern about the pros and cons of starting PD treatment with a dopamine agonist rather than with levodopa. The results are more pro-levodopa than those published in recent series, which may lead clinicians to consider low doses of levodopa as an alternative initial treatment in PD patients.
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