Therapeutics

Review: a home-like birth environment has beneficial effects on labour and delivery


QUESTION: In women at low risk for obstetric complications, what are the effects of a home-like birth environment compared with those of a conventional labour ward on labour and birth outcomes?

Data sources

Studies were identified by using the search strategy developed for the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth Group, which included searching Medline and the Cochrane Controlled Trials Register, and by hand-searching 38 relevant journal titles.

Study selection

Studies were selected if they were randomised controlled trials (RCTs) or quasi-RCTs that compared a home-like institutional birth setting with a conventional institutional birth setting in low risk pregnant women.

Data extraction

Data were extracted on methodological quality, including allocation concealment procedure, patient characteristics, birthing centre characteristics, and outcomes. Outcomes were intrapartum medical interventions, intrapartum and postpartum complications, type of delivery, perinatal mortality, feeding practices and problems, neonatal health outcomes, and adjustment to mothering.

Main results

5 RCTs and 1 quasi-RCT (n=8677) were included. 19% to 77% of women allocated to a home-like birth setting were transferred to conventional care before or during labour. Women who gave birth in the home-like setting had less use of pain medication during labour, were less likely to be immobile during labour, had fewer fetal heart rate abnormalities, had fewer operative deliveries, and were less dissatisfied with their care than were women in the conventional labour ward setting (table). Groups did not differ for discontinuation of breastfeeding at 8 weeks (table). A trend toward higher perinatal mortality was seen in the home-like setting (p=0.066)* (table).

Conclusion

In women at low risk for obstetric complications, giving birth in a home-like birth environment is associated with less analgesia use, operative delivery, and fetal abnormalities and greater satisfaction with care.

*P Value calculated from data in article.

COMMENTARY

Home-like birth environments, in which low risk women are looked after mainly by midwives, have shown such benefits as greater maternal satisfaction1 and decreases augmentation, use of analgesia, operative deliveries, and fetal heart rate abnormalities.

In the review by Hodnett, the results show that when women are looked after in home-like birth environments they are more likely to be mobile during the first stage of labour and more likely to deliver in an upright or semirecumbent position. These factors may contribute to the substantial decrease in augmentation rates, use of analgesia, operative deliveries, and abnormal fetal heart rate tracings.

The interventions in this review can be applied to most developed and developing countries. Analgesia (epidural and spinal) or operative delivery are an enormous cost burden to health service providers, and it has been shown that the average uncomplicated vaginal birth costs 68% less in a home setting than in a hospital.2

The concern is that the delay in operative deliveries or delay of interventions may contribute to an increase in perinatal mortality. Although a trend existed toward increased perinatal mortality, the incidence was low and within normal limits.3 It is important that healthcare providers giving care to women in home-like birth environments continue to observe the women during labour and birth so that fetal compromise is recognised early and dealt with effectively.

The use of home-like birth environments for low risk women has beneficial effects on parturients. The results of the review by Hodnett support the goals of the Better Births Initiative.4 Financial shortages are major problems in developing countries. Introducing home-like birth environments with good clinical care could be a cost-effective healthcare alternative but warrants further attention, specifically to perinatal mortality.
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Home-like birth setting v conventional labour ward†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Number of trials</th>
<th>Weighted event rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Home-like setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any intrapartum analgesia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immobility during labour</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHR abnormality</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative delivery</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissatisfaction with care</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopped breast feeding within 6 to 8 weeks</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinatal mortality</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†FHR = fetal heart rate. Other abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, RRI, NNT, NNH, and CI calculated from data in article using fixed effects.