

Simvastatin reduced mortality and vascular events

MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20 536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2002;360:7–22.

QUESTION: In patients with a high 5 year risk of death, does simvastatin reduce mortality and vascular events?

Design

Randomised (allocation concealed*), blinded (participants, clinicians, data collectors, and outcome assessors)*, placebo controlled trial with mean follow up of 5 years.

Setting

69 UK hospitals.

Patients

20 536 patients who were 40–80 years of age (28% were ≥ 70 y of age, 75% men); had nonfasting total cholesterol levels ≥ 3.5 mmol/l; and had a substantial 5 year risk of death because of a history of coronary heart disease (CHD), occlusive disease of noncoronary arteries, or diabetes mellitus or a history of treated hypertension (in men ≥ 65 y of age). Exclusion criteria included a clear indication for statin therapy according to the patient's doctor; abnormal liver or renal function; muscle

problems; concurrent treatment with cyclosporin, fibrates, or high dose niacin; potential for pregnancy; and serious medical conditions. Follow up was 99.7%.

Intervention

Run in treatment consisted of 4 weeks of placebo and 4–6 weeks of simvastatin, 40 mg/day. Compliant patients who did not have serious problems during the run in phase were allocated to simvastatin, 40 mg/day (n=10 269), or placebo (n=10 267). Patients were also randomised in a 2 × 2 factorial design to antioxidant vitamins (vitamin E, 600 mg/d; vitamin C, 250 mg/d; and β-carotene, 20 mg/d) or placebo (see companion report).

Main outcome measures

All cause, vascular, and nonvascular mortality. Secondary outcomes included major coronary events (nonfatal myocardial infarction or death from CHD); stroke; revascularisation; and cancer.

Main results

Analysis was by intention to treat. Simvastatin led to a reduction in all cause and vascular mortality, major coronary events, stroke, and revascularization (table 1). Simvastatin and placebo did not differ for nonvascular mortality (table) or cancer incidence.

Conclusion

In patients with a high 5 year risk of death, simvastatin safely reduced all cause mortality, vascular mortality, and vascular events.

*See glossary.

Sources of funding: UK Medical Research Council; British Heart Foundation; Merck & Co; Roche Vitamins.

For correspondence: Heart Protection Study, Clinical Trial Service Unit, Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford, UK. hps@cts.u.ox.ac.uk

Abstract and commentary also published in ACP Journal Club

Table 1. Simvastatin v placebo in high risk patients at mean 5 year follow up†

Outcomes	Simvastatin	Placebo	RRR (95% CI)	NNT (CI)
All cause mortality	13%	15%	13% (6 to 19)	58 (37 to 128)
Vascular mortality	7.6%	9.1%	17% (9 to 25)	66 (44 to 134)
Nonvascular mortality	5.3%	5.6%	5% (–7 to 15)	Not significant
Major coronary event‡	8.7%	12%	27% (21 to 33)	33 (26 to 46)
Stroke	4.3%	5.7%	25% (15 to 34)	73 (51 to 131)
Revascularisation	9.1%	12%	24% (17 to 30)	39 (29 to 58)

†Abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.
‡Nonfatal myocardial infarction or death from coronary disease.

COMMENTARY

The MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study (HPS) of cholesterol lowering and antioxidant supplementation in a wide range of high risk persons is the largest randomised trial of CHD prevention to date and should profoundly influence how statins and antioxidants are prescribed. In terms of vascular event prevention, the trial's main message was that risk reductions conferred by long term statin therapy depended chiefly on a person's overall risk of major vascular events rather than on their initial blood lipid level. Also, such benefit was achieved safely. Remarkably, the number needed to treat (NNT) with the statin for 5 years to prevent the first major vascular event was similar across pretreatment cholesterol levels (NNT range 18 [95% CI 13 to 27] to 19 [CI 14 to 30]) and age categories (NNT range 16 [CI 11 to 26] to 19 [CI 14 to 36]) and in patients with previous CHD only (NNT 18 [CI 13 to 26]) or diabetes only (NNT 21 [14 to 40]). These observations were also consistent with results from previous statin trials (table 2 on opposite page) in which the greatest benefit (smaller NNT per year) occurred among those at greatest risk.¹ With increasing age, however, smaller NNTs per year for CHD events may not necessarily yield greater cumulative benefit.² Preventing a CHD event at 50 rather than 70 years of age may yield much greater potential for cumulative benefit (life years and quality of life gained). Thus, contrary to implications of the HPS and the National Cholesterol Education Program (ATP III) guidelines,³ greater CHD risk reduction may not parallel greater overall benefit in the elderly.

Antioxidant intervention had no effect on CHD outcomes (or the incidence of cancer) but was associated with minor increases in low density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglyceride levels. These negative findings were in accord with several randomised controlled trials, including the large Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study.⁴ Thus, the unreal expectations aroused by observational studies and the Cambridge Heart Antioxidant Study (CHAOS)⁵ have been put to rest. Observational studies can mislead owing to unidentified confounding factors, and CHAOS was small, was done in the prestatin era, and had incomplete follow up.

In conclusion, given that benefits conferred by statins are mainly determined by premorbid CHD risk rather than the lipid level, identifying persons with "abnormal" lipid profiles and dosage titration to preset target lipid levels become questionable. It may nevertheless be appropriate to monitor lipid levels during treatment to verify that cholesterol has been lowered to the degree expected. Antioxidants cannot be recommended for CHD prevention. Instead, greater efforts should be directed at implementing appropriate, proven preventive measures (use of aspirin, β blockers, angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors, and statins) in high risk persons.

C R Kumana, FRCP; BM Cheung, FRCP; and IJ Lauder, PhD
University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

- 1 Kumana CR, Cheung BM, Lauder IJ. *JAMA* 1999;282:1899–901.
- 2 Cheung BM, Kumana CR. *N Z Med J* 2001;114:214–5.
- 3 Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. *JAMA* 2001;285:2486–97.
- 4 Yusuf S, Dagenais G, Pogue J, Bosch J, Sleight P. *N Eng J Med* 2000;342:154–60.
- 5 Stephens NG, Parsons A, Schofield PM, et al. *Lancet* 1996;347:781–86.

Antioxidant vitamins did not reduce death, vascular events, or cancer in high risk patients

MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of antioxidant vitamin supplementation in 20 536 high-risk individuals: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2002;360:23-33.

QUESTION: In patients with a high 5 year risk of death, does antioxidant supplementation reduce death, vascular events, and cancer?

Design

Randomised (allocation concealed*), blinded (participants, clinicians, data collectors, and outcome assessors)*, placebo controlled trial with mean follow up of 5 years.

Setting

69 UK hospitals.

Patients

20 536 patients who were 40–80 years of age (28% were ≥ 70 y of age, 75% men); had nonfasting total cholesterol levels ≥ 3.5 mmol/l; and had a substantial 5 year risk of death because of a history of coronary heart disease (CHD), occlusive disease of noncoronary arteries, or diabetes mellitus or a history of treated hypertension (in men ≥ 65 y of age). Exclusion criteria included a clear indication for statin therapy according to the patient's doctor, abnormal liver or renal function, severe heart failure, severe chronic airway disease, cancer, and indication for high dose vitamin E supplements. Follow up was 99.7%.

Intervention

Patients received 2 months of active vitamins during a run in phase. Compliant patients without serious problems during the run in phase were allocated to antioxidant vitamins (synthetic vitamin E, 600 mg/d, plus vitamin C, 250 mg/d, plus β-carotene, 20 mg/d) (n=10 269) or placebo (n=10 267). Patients were also randomised in a 2 × 2 factorial design to simvastatin, 40 mg/day, or placebo.

Main outcome measures

All cause, vascular, and nonvascular mortality. Secondary outcome measures included major coronary events (nonfatal myocardial infarction or death from CHD); stroke; revascularisation; and cancer.

Main results

Analysis was by intention to treat. Antioxidants did not differ from placebo for any outcome (table 1).

Conclusion

In patients with a high 5 year risk of death, antioxidant vitamins did not reduce mortality, coronary events, stroke, revascularisation, or cancer.

*See glossary.

Sources of funding: UK Medical Research Council; British Heart Foundation; Merck & Co; Roche Vitamins.

For correspondence: Heart Protection Study, Clinical Trial Service Unit, Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford, UK. hps@ctu.ox.ac.uk

Abstract and commentary also published in *ACP Journal Club*

Table 1. Antioxidant vitamins v placebo for high risk patients at mean 5 year follow up†

Outcomes	Antioxidant vitamins	Placebo	RRR (95% CI)	NNH
All cause mortality	14.1%	13.5%	4% (-3 to 12)	Not significant
Vascular mortality	8.6%	8.2%	5% (-5 to 15)	Not significant
Nonvascular mortality	5.5%	5.3%	4% (-8 to 17)	Not significant
Major coronary event‡	10.4%	10.2%	2% (-6 to 11)	Not significant
			RRR (CI)	NNT
Stroke	5.0%	5.0%	1% (-12 to 13)	Not significant
Revascularisation	10.3%	10.6%	2% (-6 to 10)	Not significant
Cancer (except nonmelanoma skin cancer)	7.8%	8.0%	2% (-8 to 11)	Not significant

†Antioxidant vitamins were vitamin E, vitamin C, and β carotene. Abbreviations defined in glossary; RRR, RRR, NNT, NNH, and CI calculated from data in article.

‡Nonfatal myocardial infarction or death from coronary disease.

Table 2. Coronary heart disease (CHD) event prevention for statins v placebo*

Individual trials (combined trials)†	Patient group	Mean or median follow up	RRR (95% CI)	NNT (CI)	NNT/year (CI)
a) AFCAPS/TexCAPS	No CHD, normal cholesterol	5.4 years	37% (21 to 50)	49 (33 to 99)	256 (170 to 514)
b) WOSCOPS	No CHD, high cholesterol	4.9 years	31% (17 to 43)	44 (29 to 95)	217 (141 to 463)
c) CARE	CHD, normal cholesterol	5.0 years	24% (9 to 36)	33 (20 to 99)	167 (100 to 496)
d) LIPID	CHD, normal cholesterol	6.1 years	24% (12 to 35)	28 (20 to 48)	172 (122 to 294)
e) 4S	CHD, high cholesterol	5.2 years	34% (25 to 41)	12 (9 to 17)	63 (49 to 89)
(a + b)	No CHD	5.2 years	33% (22 to 42)	47 (34 to 74)	237 (177 to 382)
(c + d + e)	CHD	5.4 years	26% (20 to 31)	23 (19 to 31)	129 (103 to 172)
(a + c + d)	Normal cholesterol	5.5 years	25% (18 to 31)	39 (30 to 55)	209 (163 to 398)
(b + e)	High cholesterol	5.2 years	30% (23 to 37)	29 (22 to 43)	151 (114 to 221)

*AFCAPS/TexCAPS = AirForce/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study; WOSCOPS = West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study; CARE = Cholesterol and Recurrent Events; LIPID = Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease trial; 4S = Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study. Abbreviations defined in glossary. Data adapted from Kumana *et al.*,¹ which contains references for these trials. The combined NNT/year for secondary prevention trials was lower than that for primary prevention and for individual trials only that for 4S was lower than the others (p<0.05).

†Results are weighted for combined trials.