Dietary supplementation with vitamins, minerals, and fatty acids reduced antisocial behaviour in young adult prisoners


QUESTION: In young adult prisoners, does supplementation with vitamins, minerals, and fatty acids reduce antisocial behaviour?

Design
Randomised [allocation concealed]*†, blinded (clinicians, participants, outcome assessors, data collectors, data analysts, and data safety monitoring committee)†, placebo controlled trial with mean 142 days of follow up.

Setting
HM Young Offenders Institution, a maximum security institution in Aylesbury, UK†.

Participants
231 prisoners who were ≥18 years of age [mean age 19 y, 100% men]†. Follow up was 100% for the intention to treat analysis.

Intervention
After stratification for prison wing, participants were allocated to dietary supplementation (n=116) or placebo (n=115). Dietary supplementation consisted of a vitamin and mineral supplement, Forcecal, and a fatty acid supplement, Efamol Marine, given in 4 daily capsules (linoleic acid, 1290 mg; gamma linolenic acid, 80 mg; eicosapentaenoic acid, 80 mg; and docosahexaenoic acid, 44 mg). The supplements matched the UK government’s recommended dietary intakes for vitamins, minerals, and essential fatty acids.

Main outcome measure
Antisocial behaviour resulting in disciplinary action (Governor reports of more serious incidents, such as violence, and minor reports [eg, failure to comply with requirements]).

Main results
Analysis was by intention to treat. 532 Governor reports and 601 minor reports were made during the study period. Participants who received supplements committed fewer infringements than those who received placebo (2 tailed p=0.03) (table). No adverse events were reported.

Conclusion
In young adult prisoners, supplementation with vitamins, minerals, and fatty acids reduced antisocial behaviour.

*See glossary.
†Information provided by author.

### Table: Dietary supplementation (vitamins, minerals, and fatty acids) v placebo in young adult prisoners

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome at mean 142 days</th>
<th>Mean number of infringements per 1000 person–days</th>
<th>Mean percentage reduction (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antisocial behaviour resulting in disciplinary action</td>
<td>Supplements: 11.8, Placebo: 16.0</td>
<td>26% (8.3 to 44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTARY**

The idea that we are what we eat has a long currency in popular culture. Dietary treatments are frequently recommended across a range of clinical disorders, particularly those that are difficult to understand and treat. There seems little doubt that pathologically aggressive behaviour is linked to poor nutrition.1 However, important correlations with other risk factors such as social and economic deprivation, low educational attainment, and substance misuse2 mean that in this field the proof of the pudding really is in the eating. The study by Gesch et al has high marks on this score because it is prospective, randomised, double blind, and placebo controlled. Most obvious confounders, such as differences in baseline levels of offending and dietary intake together with placement in the institution, seem to have been identified and resolved. The use of nutritional supplements to treat aggression is also supported by another controlled trial in delinquent schoolchildren in the US.3

In both of these studies, a broad spectrum of minerals and vitamins was provided by the dietary treatment, and Gesch et al also added essential fatty acid supplementation. Hence we cannot be sure which, if any, of the ingredients played a critical role in the treatment effect or whether the therapeutic activity was conveyed by the complex combination of nutrients. The participants in the study by Gesch et al seemed to have nutritional intakes below UK reference nutrient intakes (RNIs). Therefore another important question is whether nutritional treatment of this nature will be effective only in those with inadequate diets. However, it needs to be remembered that RNI levels have been determined largely on the basis of the amounts of vitamins and minerals required to prevent overt clinical deficiency disorders. Hence, it is possible that even in those with apparently adequate dietary intakes, nutritional supplementation could produce subtle neuropsychological changes that could modify the expression of complex social behaviours such as pathological aggression. At any rate, the study by Gesch et al does support the use of nutritional supplementation in populations where inadequate dietary intake and behavioural disturbance co-exist.
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