Angioplasty at an invasive treatment centre reduced mortality compared with first contact thrombolysis


Clinical impact ratings GP/FP/Primary care ★★★★★☆ IM/Ambulatory care ★★★★★☆ Cardiology ★★★★★☆ Emergency medicine ★★★★★☆

Q Is the transfer of patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) to an invasive treatment centre (ITC) for primary angioplasty (percutaneous coronary intervention [PCI]) more effective than onsite fibrinolysis for reducing all cause mortality, reinfarction, or stroke?

CONCLUSION

The transfer of patients who have had myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation to an invasive treatment centre for primary percutaneous coronary intervention was more effective than onsite fibrinolysis for reducing all cause mortality, reinfarction, or stroke.

Abstract and commentary also appear in ACP Journal Club.

Commentary

Primary PCI offers advantages over fibrinolytic therapy when done promptly in excellent PCI centres. However, the conclusion from DANAMI-2 that hospitals without PCI capability must withhold fibrinolytic therapy and transfer patients for primary PCI because of the reduction in the composite endpoint of death, reinfarction, or disabling stroke compared with onsite fibrinolytic therapy deserves further scrutiny because of study design.

The composite endpoint was driven by the reduction in reinfarction rates with primary PCI. Trends for mortality and disabling stroke reduction with PCI were statistically insignificant. It is important to note that in the fibrinolysis group, rescue PCI was only used in 2% of patients and reinfarction was treated with repeated fibrinolysis instead of angioplasty. With a 30 day mortality rate of 24% after reinfarction, approximately 7 excess deaths occurred in the fibrinolysis group because of this complication. The use of low molecular weight heparin instead of unfractionated heparin and a more liberal policy of permitting angioplasty to treat recurrent ischaemia in the fibrinolysis group probably would have decreased reinfarction rates and equalized mortality rates. Additionally, the stroke rate in the fibrinolysis group was higher than noted in previous fibrinolysis megatrials. Whether the higher than currently recommended unfractionated heparin dosing used in this study or the repeated fibrinolysis infusions in 26 patients contributed to this excess was not discussed. In transfer patients, time to PCI was only 90 minutes because of a superbly organised national MI triage system, compared with 185 minutes in the US in 2002.

Unless local emergency transport programmes can deliver patients to PCI centres within the times observed in this study, fibrinolytic therapy should be the preferred treatment strategy for patients who present to hospitals without PCI capability. In such settings, emergency transfer to PCI centres should be reserved for moderate and high risk patients in whom reperfusion fails or for those who develop recurrent ischaemia.
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